

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,786	06/23/2006	Mounir Kellil	CML01203EP	1460
22917 7590 10/02/2008 MOTOROLA, INC.		EXAMINER		
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			COLIN, CARL G	
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBUI	RG. IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			None and the same	DEL HEDVI CODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/596,786 KELLIL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CARL COLIN 2136 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2006 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/2006.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/596,786 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

In a preliminary amendment filed on 6/23/2006, claims 1-8 and 10 have been amended.
 The following claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/23/2006 is being considered by the examiner.

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 9 there are
no drawing numbers corresponding to each diagram.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

5. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37).

Art Unit: 2136

CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

Page 3

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to because they include drawings such as fig. 10 not

mentioned in the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or

amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance

with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing

on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each

drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin

as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to

enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by

the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2136

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites "sending a new Visitor Encryption Key (VEK.sub.j) to a visiting group member (MMj.sub.j) arriving in the corresponding group key management area (area.sub.j) if there is no other visiting group member (MMl.sub.j) situated in the corresponding group key management area (area.sub.j) and if a current Visitor Encryption Key (VEK.sub.j) exists that has already been used to encrypt a previous Traffic Encryption Key (VEK.sub.j) to a visiting group member (MMj.sub.j) arriving in the corresponding group key management area (area.sub.j) arriving in the corresponding group key management area (area.sub.j) where both conditions are mentioned as claimed (see specification, page 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said Extra Key Owner List" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,786 Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the application for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,584,566 to Hardjono (Applicant's IDS).

As per claim 1, Hardjono discloses a method of inter-area rekeying of encryption keys in secure mobile multicast communications, comprising distributing Traffic Encryption Keys (TEK) to a plurality of local Group Controller Key Servers (local GCKS) serving respective group key management areas, (see column 4, lines 30-53 and lines 63-64, distributing group related keys to key servers) and said local Group Controller Key Servers forward said Traffic Encryption Keys, encrypted using Key Encryption Keys (KEK.sub.i, KEK.sub.j) that are specific to the respective local Group Controller Key Server (local GCKSi, GCKS.sub.j), to group members situated in the respective group key management areas, (see column 5, lines 15-35 and line 55 through column 6, line 3 disclosing forwarding keys to respective members) (see column 7, lines 39-45 disclosing keys are encrypted using specific key encryption keys belonging to the

Art Unit: 2136

particular groups) said local Group Controller Kev Servers (GCKSi, GCKS.sub.j) constituting Extra Key Owner Lists (EKOLj, EKOL, sub.j) for said group key management areas (areaj, area.sub.j) that distinguish group members (MMi, MM.sub.j) possessing Kev Encryption Kevs (KEKi, KEKi) and situated in the corresponding group key management area (areas, area.sub.i) from group members (MMy) possessing Key Encryption Keys (KEKj) that were situated in the corresponding group key management area (areaj) but are visiting another area (area, sub. l). (see column 9, lines 39-45); forwarding said Traffic Encryption Keys (TEK) to group members (MMj.sub.j) visiting the respective group key management areas (area.sub.j) encrypted using a Visitor Encryption Key (VEK.sub.i) that is specific to the respective local Group Controller Key Server (GCKS.sub.j) and is different from said Kev Encryption Key (KEK.sub.j) (see column 10, lines 27-35 disclosing using a member key to encrypt members joining the group key management area) and sending a new Visitor Encryption Key (VEK.sub.j) to a visiting group member (MMi.sub.j) arriving in the corresponding group key management area (arca.sub.j) if there is no other visiting group member (MMl.sub.i) situated in the corresponding group key management area (area.sub.j) and if a current Visitor Encryption Key (VEK.sub.j) exists that has already been used to encrypt a previous Traffic Encryption Key (TEK) (see column 10, lines 27-35 disclosing sending new key to the member M10 joining and there is no mention of other visiting members).

As per claim 2, **Hardjono** discloses rekeying said Traffic Encryption Keys (TEK) after rekeying said Key Encryption Key (KEK.sub.i, KEK.sub.j). (see column 9, lines 1-5 and 23-25 disclosing new domain key after replacing common key).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 3, **Hardjono** discloses rekey a Key Encryption Key (KEK., KEK.) by a process comprising sending new Key Encryption Key (KEK, KEK.) to current group members encrypted using the current Key Encryption Key (KEK,KEK.) and to visiting group members using the Visitor Encryption Key (VEK.,VEK.) (see column 10, lines 5-35).

As per claim 4, **Hardjono** discloses wherein said local Group Controller Key Server GCKS, sends the Visitor Encryption Key (VEK,) rather than the Key Encryption Key (KEK,) to new members joining the group via area, (see column 10, lines 5-35, disclosing distributing the member key rather than the current domain key).

As per claim 5, Hardjono discloses wherein said local Group Controller Key Servers (GCKS,, GCKS,] rekey a Key Encryption Key (KEK,, KEK,) by a process comprising sending said new Key Encryption Key (KEK,, KEK,) selectively to existing group members situated in the corresponding group key management area (see column 10, lines 1-35).

As per claim 6, **Hardjono** discloses wherein said local Group Controller Key Servers (GCKS,, GCKS,) rekey a Key Encryption Key (KEK,, KEK,) by a process comprising sending said new Key Encryption Key (KEK,, KEK,) to existing group members using multicast messages and to visiting group members over a different secure channel (see column 10, lines 1-35).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 7, **Hardjono** discloses wherein rekeying a Key Encryption Key (KEK,, KEK,) comprises said local Group Controller Key Servers (GCKS,, GCKS,) by a process comprising sending a new Key Encryption Key (KEK,, KEK,) selectively to current group members currently situated in the corresponding group key management area (see column 10, lines 1-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,584,566 to Hardjono (Applicant's IDS) in view of Non Patent Literature "Secure Group Communications for Wireless Networks" pages 113-117 by Decleene et al.

As per claim 8, Hardjono discloses the claimed method of claim 3 but is silent about disclose distinguish group members (MMi, MMj) possessing Visitor Encryption Keys (VEKi, VEKj) and situated in the corresponding group key management area (areai, areaj,) from group members possessing Visitor Encryption Keys (VEKi) that were situated in the corresponding group key management area (areai) but are visiting another area (areaj). DeCleene et al in an analogous art discloses distinguishing members within an existing area and member possessing

Art Unit: 2136

key that were situated in the corresponding area but are visiting another area wherein the members may be excluded during updating (see pages 114-115). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Hardjono et al to have a list of current members and members visiting so that different keys are distributed to them respectively as suggested by DeCleene et al (see pages 114-115).

As per claim 9, the references as combined above disclose wherein said Extra Key Owner Lists (EKOL, EKOLj) and said Visitor Key Qwner Lists (VKOL,, VKOLj) comprise lists of the group members (MM,) possessing Key Encryption Keys (KEK,), respectively Visitor Encryption Keys (VEK,, VEK,), that were situated in the corresponding group key management area (area,) but are visiting another area (area,) (see DeCleene et al, pages 114-115). Claim 9 is also rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 8.

As per claim 10, the references as combined above disclose wherein a group member that was visiting another group key management area (area,) returns to an area (area,) for which it possesses a corresponding Key Encryption Key (KEK,) or Visitor Encryption Key (VEK,) before expiry of a validity period set by the corresponding Group Controller Key Server (GCKS,) without said corresponding Croup Controller Key Server (GCKS,) rekeying said Key Encryption Key (KEK,) (see DeCleene et al, pages 114-115). Claim 10 is also rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,786 Page 10

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

 $10. \hspace{0.5cm} \hbox{The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's} \\$

disclosure as the prior art discloses many of the claimed features. (See PTO-form 892).

10.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CARL COLIN whose telephone number is (571)272-3862. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carl Colin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2136

September 28, 2008